Meeting Minutes _ April 18th, 2017



Portland Public Schools Bond Accountability Committee (BAC) Location: BESC Board Auditorium

PORTLAND PUBLIC SCHOOLS Office of School Modernization 501 North Dixon Street ‡Portland, OR 97227

Memberspresent:	KevinSpellman, Louis Fontenot, Tenzin Choephel, Charlie Johnson, T Peterson
Not present:	Willy Paul,Cheryl Twete
PPSOSMstaff present:	Dan JungKen Fisher, Jerry Vincent, Derek Hende ßen win Dittmar, David Mayne, Yousef Awwad
Board Liasonspresent	Paul AnthonyPam Knowes
Board Liaisons not present:	Amy Kohnstamm
Board members present:	Mike Rosen
Next meeting:	July 19 ^h , 2017

I. Welcome & Introductions

Kevin calls meeting to order 5t30 pm.

II. Public Comment

Baruti Artharee of Safeguard Security, who has been the company subcontracted by Skanska to manage security at the Franklin Modernization **site** the last 3 months, gave testimony about their experience working with Skanska, highlighting that there was a lack of communication and responsiveness from Skanska regardiogdinationissues on the site, as well as unprofession attitude in all dealings with Skanska regarding their contract with them. He recommends that going forward, the district:

- x Establish a clear vetting process, which buld include a site security plan with a clear budget.
- x Ensure that the contractor has a proven track rectof delivering on what they say they will deliver on.
- x Make sure that OSM has an aggressive tracking policy on making sure the contractor is delivering on all deliverables.

Jerry Vincent replies: Not much will be cut from either of these budgets. Especially for bond sites. IT has cutthree dedicated high school positions which will start in July, but the we support for bond schools coming online most likely through contracted work.

• The RFP for performance audits going forward is being prepared

Tom Peterson asks: Can the BAC rethewscope of work in the RirPthe interest of having some ideas or how to refine what OSM needs from the new auditors?

Jerry Vincent replies: We can pass along the **diraft** when it is complete

Kevin Spellman asks: What is the schedule?

Dan Jung replies: April 25s the first draft deadline.

- An RFP foconstruction Contract Audits of the Roosevelt and Franklin GMP contracts is open. Two firms have provided proposals and we hope to have them under contract in the next few weeks.
- x Stakeholder perspective

Kevin Spellman adds: This would be a good opportunity for lessons learned.

Jerry Vincent agrees.

Tom Peterson add<u>A</u>/<u>e</u> understand timing and scale of other public projects, and that <u>mathematication</u> a <u>competing for the same smallusinesses and that we <u>knowledge that those businesses also have</u> capacity limitations with how much work they can take on.</u>

Dan Jung replies: What we know now is that the RFP process has been successful. Hard bids and breaking things into smaller packages has not been succesful.

- x Budget perspective:
 - o Major changes:
 - x IP 17construction has been paused due to budget concerns over Grant and the possibility of DBRAC changing the configuration of schools.
 - x Review of QZAB money and how it applies to Roosevelt.

Louis Fontenot askstlow many schools are affected by the lack of IP 17?

Dan Jung replies Approximately 10 schools.

Kevin Spellman asks: What kind of communication has happened with the sites?

Jerry Vincent replies: There has been direct site contact about the **status**IP work.

x <u>Schedule Perspective</u>: • Schedule status review.

IV. Projects Update

- x FranklinHigh School
 - o Review of Franklin Schedule and Budget.

Kevin Spellman askslow has the neighborhood been dealing with the parking?

Ken Fisher repliest is tight, but no major complaints. Most neighbors havlain0 0 1 ghMot9.09 T2-3()-3(i/81(s)-11)4(w)-4()-32(w)5e4(el)97u9